



2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Submitted for consideration by the Association of Towns Resolutions Committee

*Anthony Provenzano, Town Justice, Town of Rye, Westchester County
First Vice President, Association of Towns, Chair of the Resolutions Committee*

1. Strengthening State Relations through Mandate Relief and Revenue Sharing
2. Preserve and Strengthen Home Rule
3. Continue Town-Initiated Intermunicipal and Shared Service Agreements
4. Relief from Recycling Mandates
5. Increase Justice Court Funding
6. State Support for Local Highway Administration
7. Fund Local Water, Sewer and Stormwater Infrastructure
8. Increase the Number of DEC Forest Rangers
9. Oppose State Takeover of Utility Property on Private Rights-of-Way
10. Eliminate the "Dark Store Theory" as a Valuation Method to Reduce Real Property Tax Assessments
11. Support Local Hotel Occupancy Taxes
12. Sales Tax Distribution
13. Retiree Capped Earnings
14. Publication of Legal Notices
15. Restore the Full SALT and Mortgage Interest Deduction
16. Reform Inequities in the Property Tax Cap Formula
17. Cell Phone Service in the Adirondacks
18. Support Funding for Rural Broadband Deployment while Preserving Local Authority
19. Authorize an Optional Town Gross Receipts Tax (GRT)

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Resolution No. 1

Strengthening State Relations through Mandate Relief and Revenue Sharing

WHEREAS, local governments perform a number of public services such as protecting the environment, responding to emergencies, caring for those in need and fostering economic growth; and

WHEREAS, complying with state and federal regulations and mandates increases the cost of local services; and

WHEREAS, state revenue sharing, program funding and technical assistance has not kept pace with the costs to provide these services; and

WHEREAS, without state funding, many of these services are funded with local real property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the state has evinced a commitment to reduce property taxes with the enactment of the Real Property Tax Cap; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and the Legislature to enact comprehensive mandate relief legislation that provides permanent and full funding of existing and future mandates and that requires all legislation to include thorough local fiscal impact notes regarding the actual expense of implementing said legislation; and **BE IT FURTHER**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and the Legislature to increase revenue sharing, program funding and technical assistance to localities.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

Mandate Relief

Unfunded mandates pose a significant burden to municipal budgets. Over the last few years, several bills have been introduced addressing unfunded state mandates, signifying state lawmakers' awareness of exactly how mandates hinder a municipality's ability to operate and provide services; however, none have been successful.

Revenue Sharing

Towns receive general revenue sharing (unrestricted aid) through the Aid and Incentive for Municipalities (AIM) program, which is intended to help towns stabilize real property taxes. AIM funding has not been increased since 2008 and remained at \$714.7 million in the 2018-2019 state budget for cities, villages and towns, with towns sharing \$47.9 million or 6.7 percent of the total. Had AIM kept pace with inflation, the total 2018 appropriation would have been for \$893 million. Although the governor would like to reduce the burden on property taxpayers, as demonstrated by programs like the tax cap, tax freeze and countywide shared services panel, the Executive/Enacted State Budget routinely underutilizes a revenue sharing program already in place as a way to reduce reliance on property taxes to fund local services.

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Resolution No. 2

Preserve and Strengthen Home Rule

WHEREAS, the New York State Constitution grants broad home rule powers to local governments and places restrictions on the state Legislature in order to preserve these powers; and

WHEREAS, home rule powers encompass a wide range of subjects, including but not limited to: the power to adopt, amend and repeal local laws in the exercise of its functions, powers and duties; the power to share services and act cooperatively with other local governments; the power to acquire real and personal property for its corporate purposes; the power to establish recreational facilities; the power to dispose of its real and personal property; the power to levy and collect rents and/or penalties in a city, village or town; the power to adopt, amend and repeal zoning regulations; and the power to perform comprehensive or other planning work relating to its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, under Municipal Home Rule Law and the Statute of Local Governments, a local government's home rule powers must be liberally construed; and

WHEREAS, the exercise of home rule powers allows local governments to meet the unique and diverse needs of local residents while also fostering citizen participation and grassroots involvement in government; and

WHEREAS, New York's diverse communities are best served by maintaining the principles of home rule, including those set forth in the state Constitution, Local Government Bill of Rights, Statute of Local Governments and the Municipal Home Rule Law; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and the Legislature to preserve and strengthen home rule; and BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns strongly opposes any state initiative that ultimately weakens or eliminates New York's long-standing tradition of home rule and local government authority.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

Home rule can be described as a method by which a state government can transfer a portion of its governmental powers to a local government, providing localities with autonomy in the management of their own affairs, the objective being a more equitable and efficient allocation of duties and rights between the state and its local governments. The evolution of home rule occurred over the span of several decades. Cities, counties and some villages all received home rule powers before towns. Towns received home rule powers with the adoption of the 1963/1964 Constitutional Amendments. Unfortunately, through a series of court cases and legislative enactments, the state has weakened local home rule powers by finding local governments are pre-empted by the state regarding areas of local concern. This resolution seeks to preserve and strengthen home rule by affording town governments the authority needed to serve New Yorkers who live and work in towns.

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Resolution No. 3

Continue Town-Initiated Intermunicipal and Shared Service Agreements

WHEREAS, shared services and efficiency programs, such as the Countywide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan and Tax Freeze Efficiency Program, have been included or extended in the state budget recently; and

WHEREAS, towns have the authority under General Municipal Law Article 5-G to share services and have been doing so for generations well before these programs were enacted; and

WHEREAS, towns are fiscally responsible, and over the course of decades, realized significant savings of taxpayer money from sharing services; and

WHEREAS, towns could expand the type of shared services or more easily implement shared services if legislative barriers were removed; and

WHEREAS, state shared services programs require time and resources that may be better spent by towns to provide services; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that in keeping with towns' longstanding practice of saving taxpayer money through shared services, the Association of Towns calls on the Governor and state Legislature to encourage and support town-initiated shared services and intermunicipal cooperation without state mandates or restrictions.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

The Tax Freeze Program adopted in 2014 and the Countywide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan adopted in 2017 (and extended by two years in 2018) were intended to incentivize sharing services among local governments with the argument, as articulated by the governor, that sharing services will reduce real property taxes. According to the OSC, local governments generated \$18.4 billion in shared services revenue since 1996. Over the last 20 years, towns alone have taken in more than \$3.2 billion in revenue from shared services (see Office of the State Comptroller, AUD data set 1996 – 2016). A cost-efficient way to increase revenue realized by shared services is by removing legislative barriers and allowing towns to organically find opportunities to share services.

Resolution No. 4

Requesting State Action to Address Recycling Mandates in a Time of Crisis

WHEREAS, towns operate solid waste transfer stations, and as such, are required to comply with the numerous and complicated set of regulations imposed by New York State that regulate their operations, including a significant set of rules pertaining to recycled materials; and

WHEREAS, recycling has become a worldwide commodity in which China, the largest buyer of recycling materials in the world, has recently enacted a number of policies that effectively restrict the amounts and kinds of recycling exports from the United States to China; and

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

WHEREAS, these restrictive policies have increased the cost of disposal of recycled materials to municipalities to now approach tipping fees for regular trash; and

WHEREAS, these world events are causing unsustainable cost increases of recycling material disposal for municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the worldwide commodity situation for recycled materials is unlikely to change in the short-term; and

WHEREAS, the disposal of recycled materials previously provided municipalities a small revenue stream, but current events now make their disposal a growing cost to municipalities; and

WHEREAS, raising tip fees and other costs associated with recycling often have detrimental environmental effects such as "dumping" on both private and public lands; and

WHEREAS, towns recognize that recycling is an important component of environmental stewardship, **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of towns requests the Governor and any relevant executive departments to re-evaluate current laws, policies and administrative interpretations in order to relieve the added and growing costs of processing and disposal of recycling materials; and **BE IT FURTHER**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns requests that New York State modify mandates relating to recycling and provide municipalities with a subsidy to, at a minimum, offset the current losses being incurred by municipalities who properly dispose of recycling materials.

Background

This is a new resolution suggested by the board of supervisors in Schoharie County.

There are several reasons for this skyrocketing cost, including a limited market for recyclables. The Chinese market, the largest market for recyclables in the world, has put a three-month moratorium on acceptance of recyclables from the United States because USA recycling is too dirty. Contamination restrictions for the Chinese market have been reduced to 0.03 percent from 0.05 percent. Contaminated recyclables also slow down the process of recycling separation, which increases costs. This has caused single-stream recyclers to continue stockpiling recyclables while this situation goes on, also increasing the cost of disposal.

This resolution was requested by the board of supervisors in Schoharie County to address the brewing crisis in municipal recycling. General Municipal Law, §120-aa (2) (a) requires recycling where "economic markets for alternate uses exist." The term "economic markets" refers to "instances in which the full avoided costs of proper collection, transportation and disposal of source-separated materials are equal to or greater than the cost of collection, transportation and sale of said material less the amount received from the sale of said material," (General Municipal Law, §120-aa (2) (a)). The economic markets for recycling are disappearing, and the cost of disposing of recyclables is rising.

Local governments considering suspending their recycling programs need to work with the Department of En-

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Environmental Conservation (DEC) before taking formal action as they could face legal repercussions. In August 2018, Governor Cuomo directed the Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) to "identify new actions to improve recycling in New York in response to changes in global recycling markets." In response, the DEC has been working with stakeholders, including local governments, to address the looming crisis. Many ideas are being considered, such as: developing domestic markets, switching from single-source recycling to dual-source recycling to address the contamination issues that make single-source recyclables less marketable, requiring companies to change packaging and manufacturing practices to produce less waste, consumer education campaigns to encourage better recycling practices and government purchasing requirements. This resolution seeks legislative and regulatory action to address the recycling crisis.

Resolution No. 5

Increase Justice Court Funding

WHEREAS, town justice courts provide an essential service that is primarily funded by local real property taxes, and the state-established reimbursement fund (General Municipal Law (GML) §99-L), designed to assist local governments with the operational expenses of justice courts, has not been amended to reflect an increase in operational expenses for the past 20 years; and

WHEREAS, the fees to commence an action in small claims court have not been increased since 1996 (Uniform Justice Court Act §1803; Chapter 309 of the Laws of 1996 – \$10 filing increase for claims in the amount of \$1,000 or less and the \$15 filing increase for claims in the amount of more than \$1,000); and

WHEREAS, the \$25 per diem translation services reimbursement fee has not been increased in more than 40 years (Judiciary Law §387); and

WHEREAS, Justice Court Assistance Program (JCAP), which provides state funding for town and village justice court operations, materials, facilities and training, is underfunded; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns requests legislative action to increase statutory fees and JCAP funding to assist local taxpayers in funding justice court operational expenses.

Background

This resolution is modified from the 2016 resolution on justice court funding. In addition, this resolution supports additional funding requested by the New York State Magistrates Association.

Town justice courts are primarily locally funded. The majority of money collected in town justice courts is generally paid to the state and county, rather than the town or village (see e.g. 2006 – 49 percent of the revenue collected by town and village justice courts went to the state and county). Although justice courts are primarily locally funded, the state provides services, equipment and financial assistance to justice courts. While state funding is helpful, it does not cover all associated expenses. General Municipal Law §99-L provides for the payment of specified fees to towns and villages for certain services performed by their respective town and village justice courts. Most of the fees relate to services performed for another municipality or governmental entity – principally the state and county. The general purpose and intent of GML §99-L, therefore, is to provide partial reimbursement

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

to a town or village for the services of its justice court. The legislative basis for enacting §99-L of the General Municipal Law was to provide that those who violated the law and were responsible for the financial burden of operating the local courts ought to bear some of that financial burden. Inflation and the resulting higher operating costs of local courts require rethinking the amount of court costs that ought to be paid by those defendants who appear before the court, and not the real property owner, who bears the greatest financial burden of maintaining local government costs, including the costs of police protection and court maintenance. Towns are overdue for an increase in fees for operational expenses in that towns have not seen an increase in GML fees since 1997, when the current reimbursement rate of \$15 was set. Legislation was introduced but not enacted in 2007 (A6647/S3329); if enacted, it would have increased from \$15 to \$20, the fee payable to a town or village for criminal actions, moving violation proceedings, license suspension or revocation orders, and examination of any deposition and information and the issuance of a search warrant by a justice court and increased the justice court copy fee from 25 cents to 35 cents per folio of 100 words. In addition, the fees charged for small claims court applications have not been increased since 1996, and the reimbursement fees for translation services have not been increased in more than four decades.

OSC Justice Court Fund Report: www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/pubs/research/justicecourtreport2010.pdf

Resolution No. 6

State Support for Local Highway Administration

WHEREAS, local highways and bridges make up 85 percent of our state's highway system; and

WHEREAS, recent local road system studies continue to identify a multibillion dollar shortfall in funding for local highways and bridges; and

WHEREAS, upgrading the state's 90,000 miles of county and town roads to meet the American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) minimum standards would cost more than \$9.7 billion; and

WHEREAS, there is a need to statutorily empower local highway superintendents, town boards and engineering professionals to make decisions regarding the maintenance, reconstruction and construction of local low-volume and minimum maintenance roads; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Local Road Classification Task Force developed guidelines for a design process for rehabilitation projects on low-traffic roads, including recommendations for pavement width, bridge width, roadside clear zones and minimum maintenance standards for rural roads that access and protect farms, forests and recreational land; and

WHEREAS, towns are limited in the amount that they can annually levy to fund highway equipment purchases without engaging in the expense of a referendum; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and Legislature to increase the base funding for the Consolidated Highway Improvement Program (CHIPS), PAVE-NY and BridgeNY; and **BE IT FURTHER**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and Legislature to authorize localities to make local road classifications as recommended by the NYS Local Road Classification Task Force, which

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

promotes efficient use of taxpayer resources, safe travel and farm, forest and open space preservation; and BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns supports amending Highway Law, §271 to authorize towns to increase the amount of taxes they can levy annually without the expense of a referendum to purchase highway equipment.

Background

This resolution is modified from the 2018 resolution on highway administration in support of the New York State Association of Town Superintendents of Highways' request for amendments to Highway Law, §271.

Increase State Funding for Local Roads and Bridges

We are in year four of the current Five-Year NYSDOT Capital Program (2015-16 thru 2019-20). The current NYS-DOT Capital Program includes two new local funding programs (PAVE-NY and BridgeNY). These programs are short-term supplemental programs to the long-standing Consolidated Highway Improvement Program (CHIPS), which provides dedicated quarterly state funding to towns for highways and bridges. The 2018-2019 State Budget included \$100 million for the PAVE-NY program, \$100 million for the BridgeNY program; \$39.7 million for the Marchiselli program and \$438.1 million for CHIPS. The funding for CHIPS and Marchiselli has not been increased in several years. In addition, the state budget includes \$65 million for the Extreme Winter Recovery Fund. While increased funding for local roads and bridges through new short-term programs, such as PAVE-NY and BridgeNY, is most appreciated, local officials are calling on the state to increase the base funding for CHIPS, which would represent a long-term state funding commitment.

For additional information on the CHIPS, PAVE-NY and BridgeNY programs, visit:

CHIPS: <https://www.dot.ny.gov/programs/chips?nd=nysdot>

PAVE-NY: <https://www.dot.ny.gov/paveny>

BridgeNY: <https://www.dot.ny.gov/bridgeny>

New York State Association of Town Highway Superintendents' Needs Study

Local governments are responsible for 85 percent of New York's roads and bridges, with 46 percent of vehicle miles traveled in New York occurring on local roads. In 2013, the New York State Association of Town Highway Superintendents released a 20-year needs assessment of local highway and bridge infrastructure, which estimates local pavement needs over the next 15-year period to total \$31.95 billion. Local system bridge needs over the same period is \$2.85 billion. Local governments should be receiving an additional \$1.3 billion annually in highway funding to address the need and usage patterns, according to the report.

Report: An Assessment of Local Jurisdiction Highway and Bridge Infrastructure Needs (2013)

www.nystownhwys.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/2013LocalNeedsAssessmentUpdate.pdf

State Comptroller's 2014 Cracks in the Foundation Report

The state comptroller estimates that local governments should be spending \$2.3 billion annually on roads and

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

bridges www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/pubs/infrastructure2014.pdf.

Statutory Support for Local Low-Volume and Minimum Maintenance Roads

The Local Roads Classification Task Force and the Local Roads Research Coordination Council were created to look at ways to lower costs for rural roads. Several additional councils and organizations have pushed for legislation to formally codify the authority and procedure to designate low-volume and minimum maintenance roads, to no avail (see e.g. A418 Gunther (MS); same as S2537 Griffo [2018]). Meanwhile, organizations such as the Legislative Commission on Rural Resources, the Tug Hill Commission and Cornell Local Roads offer sample local laws, training and other guidance in the matter.

In the absence of express state statutory authority, many towns have utilized their home rule authority and adopted local road standards by local law. The need for state legislation has become more important as some lower courts have found that locally adopted road standards that include limits on snowplowing services on minimum maintenance roads is inconsistent with seasonal limited use road designations under Highway Law, §205-c (*Weikel vs. Town of West Turin et al* Index No. CA2015-0411; RJI No. 524-15-0207 Supreme Court Lewis County (March 24 2016) reversed on statute of limitations grounds 162 A.D.3d 1706 (4th Dept. 2018)).

Additional Resources

Guidelines for Rural Town and County Roads: <https://cornell.app.box.com/v/clrp-pb-mgrtrcr>

Tug Hill Commission: <http://www.tughill.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/Questions-and-Ans.-Low-Volume-Road-Design-03-14.pdf>

Cornell Local Roads: <https://cornell.app.box.com/v/clrp-pb-hslvrnys>

Increasing Levy Cap on Equipment Funding

Highway Law, §271(3)(a)(4) limits the amount of money that a town may annually levy and collect to fund purchases of "stone crushers, power rollers, motor trucks, scarifiers, concrete mixers, traction engines or road machines for grading and scraping, equipment, tools and other implements." The limit of \$60,000 was set in the 1950s. Although some towns have higher annual thresholds through special state legislation, the \$60,000 limit is well outdated considering equipment that is vital to public safety, such as a snow plow truck, now costs more than \$200,000. Increasing the statutory threshold to be more consistent with the current cost of equipment will give towns the necessary flexibility to manage their equipment needs and budgets. Currently, to seek an increase over the \$60,000 limit, the town board needs a referendum or an amendment to Highway Law, §271.

Resolution No. 7

Fund Local Water, Sewer and Stormwater Infrastructure

WHEREAS, communities within New York State maintain extensive networks of intertwined infrastructure critical to public health and our state and local economies; and

WHEREAS, many municipalities are responsible for water systems, sewer systems, stormwater facilities and other infrastructure constructed decades ago under earlier, and possibly outdated, regulatory standards; and

WHEREAS, these systems are owned and maintained at various levels of government and require regular main-

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

tenance, analysis and upgrades; and

WHEREAS, while New York State provides application-based funding assistance on a case-by-case basis, the need for improvements to the state's infrastructure impacts the public health of all of our communities; and

WHEREAS, in 1981, the state launched the Consolidated Local Street and Highway Improvement Program (CHIPS), which provides consistent funding assistance for the maintenance of local roads; and

WHEREAS, CHIPS provides a successful model for the distribution of state funding through a fair and equitable formula, ensuring that every municipality receives assistance for sanitary sewer, drinking water and stormwater systems; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns hereby supports a New York State dedicated funding program to provide a fair and equitable formula to distribute funds annually to municipalities to ensure the integrity and reliance of municipal water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

New York's aging infrastructure is in dire need of financial investment. In 2015, the American Society of Civil Engineers' New York State Council (council) gave our drinking water systems a letter grade of C and our wastewater systems a D. The council noted that an astounding 95 percent of proposed drinking water projects go unfunded and that 22,000 miles of underground sewer systems are more than 60 years old and operating well beyond their intended use. The Department of Environmental Conservation and the Department of Health (respectively) estimate that New York must spend a minimum of between \$36.2 billion and \$38 billion on drinking water infrastructure over the next 20 years to protect the public health. The State Comptroller issued a report on water infrastructure funding needs in 2017 wherein the comptroller noted that the funding needs to address our aging water systems are so great that the cost will be challenging for local governments www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/pubs/research/drinkingwatersystems.pdf. Further delaying these projects will result in higher costs to taxpayers and will put public health and the economy in jeopardy. A coalition of New York advocacy groups representing businesses, environmental interests and local governments estimates that New York needs to invest \$800 million annually to address our aging infrastructure.

New York State principally provides funding for water, sewer and stormwater infrastructure through grants and low- or no-interest loans. The state created the temporary Water Quality Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2015 (WIIA), which provided funding through a grant program administered by the Environmental Facilities Corporation. The Clean Water Infrastructure Act of 2017 extended the WIIA to provide local infrastructure funding for the next four years with a multibillion-dollar investment. Information about this program is on the Environmental Facilities Corporation's website at <https://www.efc.ny.gov/WIIA>

In addition to these loans and grants, local governments would benefit from a dedicated funding program similar to CHIPS, so towns could factor predictable annual funding amounts into multiyear capital management plans. Senator Tedisco and Assemblymember Steck have sponsored legislation (S3292 - passed senate in 2018 / A3907 - in assembly environmental conservation committee) to create such a program called Safe Water Infrastructure Action Program (SWAP).

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Resolution No. 8

Increase the Number of Department of Environmental Conservation Forest Rangers

WHEREAS, NYS forest rangers have provided care, custody and control of the Forest Preserve and all DEC-managed lands throughout the state since 1885, protecting the valuable natural resources and the people who recreate there; and

WHEREAS, forest rangers are unparalleled stewards of the land who are police officers, wild land firefighters and wilderness first responders that patrol these lands year-round; and

WHEREAS, the addition of more than 1 million acres of DEC-managed lands the last several decades without staffing increases has caused the ratio of DEC-managed lands per ranger to grow from one ranger per 28,516 acres in 1970 to one ranger per 53,752 acres today; and

WHEREAS, the dramatic increase in recreational use of state lands has led to a corresponding increase in the number of search and rescue operations. In both 2015 and 2016, forest rangers participated in more than 300 incidents annually, numbers that had not been met in the rangers' previous 130 years of existence; and

WHEREAS, communities rely heavily on tourism associated with state land recreation which, gratefully, has been well-promoted by this administration; and

WHEREAS, forest rangers are essential to providing a safe and positive recreational experience for tourists using state land; and

WHEREAS, the current level of forest ranger staffing, 137 statewide including supervisors, is not adequate to fulfill their unique mission given the amount of land they patrol and the number of incidents to which they respond; and

WHEREAS, the PBA of NYS has proposed increasing the number of forest rangers staffing to 175; and

WHEREAS, the proposal by the PBA of NYS to increase forest ranger staffing has wide public and bipartisan support from local governments, business groups, environmental groups and outdoor recreation clubs for the simple reason that it protects the people from the land and the land from the people; and

WHEREAS, this proposal represents the best solution to address the increase in public lands and its usage rates;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns supports increasing the number of forest rangers to 175 statewide, which is deemed critically important to the state protection of state land, the environment and the people of the State of New York.

Background

This is a new resolution that was submitted by the Adirondack Association of Towns and Villages.

The Police Benevolent Association of New York State, which is the union that represents forest rangers, believes that there is a need to increase the number of state forest rangers due to the search and rescue operations necessitated by the increased number of visitors to the Adirondacks and the increases in acreage of state-owned

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

lands. According to the PBA's budget testimony at the 2018 budget hearings, "[t]oday, there are 137 forest rangers in New York State. The 137 forest rangers are responsible for 4,934,951 total acres of DEC-administered lands. By comparison, in 1970, there were 140 forest rangers and only 3.5 million total acres of DEC-administered land. This is evidence that over the past half century the number of forest rangers has remained stagnant while DEC has acquired roughly 30 percent more landmass." In addition to covering more land, forest rangers are serving more visitors, and the search and rescue operations are becoming more complex, and they are being dispatched out of state to assist in wildfires. The communities rely on DEC forest rangers to assist residents and visitors and to help protect state land. New Yorkers who visit state lands rely on forest rangers for their safety. For more information on this issue, you can visit the March 22, 2018 edition of the Adirondack Almanac: <https://www.adirondackalmanack.com/2018/03/scott-van-lear-forest-ranger-staffing-and-nys-budget.html#comments>

Resolution No. 9

Oppose State Takeover of Utility Property on Private Rights-of-Way

WHEREAS, utility property located in private rights-of-way is currently assessed by the local municipality where such property is located; and

WHEREAS, the state adopted legislation directing the commissioner of taxation and finance to submit a report analyzing the economic, policy and legal objectives that would be served by the establishment of an assessment ceiling program for all public utility mass property within the state; and

WHEREAS, the establishment of such a program would result in the state taking over the important local function of the assessment of utility property located in private rights-of-way; and

WHEREAS, such takeover would result in the loss of local assessment of utility property in private rights-of-way, as well as the potential loss of tax revenue to local municipalities due to the state not adequately reflecting the value of such property; and

WHEREAS, local assessors are the public officers vested with the statutory authority to determine the value of property within their locality, and to divest them of such authority is contrary to public policy; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and state Legislature to ensure that the assessment of utility property in private rights-of-way remains under the control of the local municipality where such property is located.

Background

This resolution is a new resolution. It was requested by the New York State Assessors' Association and the Town of Ramapo in Rockland County.

In 2017, the state established a five-year public utility central assessment pilot program in Westchester County. The function of the pilot program was to give the state the authority to assess the value of public utilities located in private rights-of-way that transmit and distribute gas and electricity, which effectively stripped the assessing authority from the assessors in Westchester County. This pilot program was subsequently repealed in October

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

2018. While the program was repealed, the law repealing the program directed the state to evaluate the economic, policy and legal objectives that would be served through a statewide public utility central assessment program.

The Association of Towns opposes this directive, as assessing is an important town function that should remain at the local level.

Resolution No. 10

Eliminate the "Dark Store Theory" as a Valuation Method to Reduce Real Property Tax Assessments

WHEREAS, New York State Real Property Tax Law Section 305 requires real property to be assessed at a uniform percentage of value in each assessing unit; and

WHEREAS, the owners of real property in New York State are assessed on their property as it existed on the applicable taxable status date; and

WHEREAS, assessors use three approaches in valuing real property including the cost approach, the income approach and the sales approach; and

WHEREAS, a fair and uniform indicator of property value to assist in assessment is the sale of a similar-use, comparable property; and

WHEREAS, there is a national trend to use the "Dark Store Theory" when assessing "big box" real property parcels; and

WHEREAS, the "Dark Store Theory" relies on the use of shuttered, deed-restricted and abandoned properties that are in no way similar to lighted stores as comparables; and

WHEREAS, the real estate tax revenue that would have been generated from a "Dark Store" that was properly assessed will be shifted and redistributed to the remaining taxpayers within the jurisdiction, increasing their tax burden; and

WHEREAS, big box retailers require local governments to extend public services and infrastructure and should be assessed accordingly; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and state Legislature to adopt legislation prohibiting the use of the "Dark Store Theory" as a valuation method in real property assessment.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

In recent years, a trend has proliferated among big box stores to challenge their real property assessment using the "dark store theory." That is, retailers such as Lowe's and Target have challenged their assessment on the grounds that the property's value should be taxed at the rate of comparable properties that include vacant and abandoned lots that formerly housed big box stores but have since gone "dark." Essentially, there are few com-

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

parable sales to use to establish the value of the property, and big box stores assert that those dark properties should be included in their assessment valuation. In Michigan, Lowe's used this theory to successfully reduce its assessment from \$10.4 million to \$3.5 million, requiring the town to refund back taxes of \$755,828 over a three-year period (*see Lowe's Home Centers, Inc. v. Township of Marquette*, 2014 WL 1616411).

In response to the potential proliferation of reduced assessments, Indiana adopted legislation in 2015 that targeted big box retailers by requiring assessors to value them using the cost method and preventing the use of dark store comparables. Similar legislation was introduced in Michigan in 2016. Texas has estimated that over the course of the next five years, the dark store theory could cost \$2.6 billion annually in tax shifts. While courts in New York have not adopted the dark store theory to date, there is concern it could be used in the future. Using the dark store theory on big box retailers would result in a lower assessment for such property owners, which ultimately leads to lower taxes for the commercial big box property owners. The reduction in assessment would unfairly shift the property tax burden to all of the other taxpayers in the jurisdiction. Accordingly, it is necessary to evaluate the issue and ensure that the dark store theory method of assessment is not introduced in New York.

Resolution No. 11

Support Local Hotel Occupancy Taxes

WHEREAS, towns do not have the authority to impose a hotel or motel occupancy tax on room rentals within their jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, a hotel or motel occupancy tax would provide an additional revenue source for towns that would reduce the need to rely on real property taxes to fund town services and operations; and

WHEREAS, the Governor and the New York State Legislature support the reduction of real property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the Governor has stated that he will consider a comprehensive statewide policy advanced by the Legislature authorizing additional municipalities to impose local hotel/motel occupancy taxes; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls on members of the New York State Legislature to adopt legislation giving all municipalities the option of imposing a hotel or motel occupancy tax.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

A hotel/motel occupancy tax, also commonly referred to as a bed tax, is a charge that a municipality can place on room rentals within their jurisdiction. However, in order to impose an occupancy tax, municipalities need special legislation authorizing them to do so (*see* NYS Constitution Article XVI §1; *Baldwin Union Free School Dist. V. County of Nassau*, 22 NY3d 606 [2014]; *Opns St Comp No. 83-218*).

Counties and cities primarily have the authority to impose occupancy taxes, though a small number of towns successfully got special legislation adopted. However, several towns have had their legislation vetoed by Governor Cuomo because, as stated in his veto message, he believes the authority to impose this tax should be a comprehensive statewide policy established by the Legislature, not a piecemeal process. The Assembly has shown

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

some interest in the issue and included a program creating a uniform hotel/motel tax program in its one-house budget bill before, but it was not included in the final state budget.

Resolution No. 12

Sales Tax Distribution

WHEREAS, sales tax collection represents an important source of revenue that many towns use to help defray the rising costs of providing services or property taxes at the town or county level; and

WHEREAS, counties are authorized by Article 29 of the New York State Tax Law to impose a tax on the sale of certain goods; and

WHEREAS, a county legislature has the option of using all or a portion of its sales tax revenues solely for county or education purposes, or it may apportion some between its towns and cities (Tax Law, §1262); and

WHEREAS, a county legislature is additionally authorized to make an agreement with any city or cities in the county to allocate a specific portion of these revenues to such city or cities; and

WHEREAS, cities have the right to pre-empt application of the county sales tax and impose their own local sales tax; and

WHEREAS, towns do not yet enjoy the right to levy their own local sales tax, pre-empt the in-town application of the county sales tax or require the county to negotiate a sales tax allocation agreement; and

WHEREAS, local sales taxes are generally levied at the county level, and sales tax proceeds are distributed to other units of government within county boundaries in accordance with local sharing agreements entered into at the discretion of the county; and

WHEREAS, in counties where the county shares sales tax with towns, towns can receive their sales tax distribution in one of three ways: (1) as an offset to reduce county property taxes levied in a town; (2) in cash, or (3) a combination of the two; and

WHEREAS, the state has imposed a real property tax levy cap on all municipalities, effectively limiting the ability of the towns and villages to keep pace with the rising costs of employee health insurance, retirement system costs and other expenses and increasing the need for towns to rely on alternative revenue sources such as sales taxes; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and the state Legislature to amend the New York State Tax Law to require county governments governed by separately elected legislators to share sales tax revenue with towns and to negotiate sales tax allocation formulas with towns; and **BE IT FURTHER**

RESOLVED, that non-negotiated changes to a county sales tax allocation formula be subject to a permissive referendum.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Counties and cities have the authority to levy local sales taxes, but towns do not (Tax Law, §1262; Opns St Comp, 1990 No. 90-39; 1976 N.Y. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 280). Counties are authorized to share sales tax revenue with towns and other municipalities at their discretion unless there is a city within the county that opts to collect its own sales taxes; in which case, the county must then share sales taxes with the towns within the county (Tax Law, §1262; OSC report "Local Government Sales Taxes in New York State" March 2015). Not only do towns lack the statutory authority to levy a local sales tax or negotiate a sales tax allocation agreement, they also lack standing to challenge the county sales tax allocation formula or lack thereof (Tax Law §§1210, 1212, 1262; *Havranek v. Mathews*, 160 A.D.2d 1207, 555 N.Y.S.2d 467 (3d Dep't 1990)).

Towns have advocated for a change in the New York State Tax Law to require the mandatory distribution of county sales tax with towns. Although not achieved on a statewide basis, there have been various amendments to Article 29 of the tax law requiring some form of sharing of county sales tax with towns. For example, Tax Law §1262-e requires Nassau County to establish a local government assistance program for Nassau County towns and cities to minimize real property taxes and other listed expenses. In addition, Tax Law §1262-b requires Westchester County to share some sales tax revenues with the cities and towns at a specified rate. Finally, Tax Law §1262-a requires Tompkins County, in specified circumstances, to share a certain percentage of sales tax with the towns in the county.

Resolution No. 13

Increase Retired Public Officers' and Employees' Capped Earnings under the Retirement System

WHEREAS, many towns rely on the service of retired public officers and employees; and

WHEREAS, under Retirement and Social Security Law § 212 public retirees may earn only up to \$30,000 from post-retirement public employment without diminution of retirement earnings; and

WHEREAS, updating the amount for inflation would equate to \$35,000; and

WHEREAS, legislation is routinely introduced to amend Retirement and Social Security Law §212 to increase the salary cap to \$35,000; and

WHEREAS, hiring public retirees allows towns to employ experienced individuals at a reduced cost, thus saving taxpayer money; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and the Legislature to amend state law to increase the salary cap placed on retired public employees who continue their public service.

Background

This resolution appeared on AOT's 2016 Legislative Program and was requested for reconsideration for the 2019 Legislative Program by the Erie County Supervisors Forum.

As a way to keep property taxes down, many towns rely on retired public employees to provide local services and public protection. The state allows retirees to work for a participating employer in the retirement system, provided that their compensation does not exceed \$30,000 (Retirement and Social Security Law of New York

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

State § 212). The salary limitations set forth in § 212 were increased annually between 1996 and 2004 to account for inflation, but the Legislature has not increased the cap in several years despite bills being introduced on a near-annual basis (see S3576-B [2017]; S2447 [2016]; S02447-D [2015]; S5090 [2014]).

Resolution No. 14

Publication of Legal Notices

WHEREAS, an informed and engaged electorate is important to the governing process; and

WHEREAS, the electorate is notified of many local government actions via publication of legal notices in a paid daily or weekly newspaper as defined by Public Officers Law §70-a and General Construction Law, §60 (a); and

WHEREAS, studies show a decline in paid local daily and weekly newspaper readership; and

WHEREAS, New Yorkers are increasingly turning to alternative sources of news and information, such as the internet or free community newspapers; and

WHEREAS, the intent of required public notice is to increase the likelihood that citizens are well-informed regarding local government actions, finances and plans; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and the Legislature to amend the General Construction Law to allow publications having a widespread though unpaid circulation within the town to be considered newspapers and to permit towns and other local governments to place legal notices in these local publications; and **BE IT FURTHER**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns supports legislation allowing optional alternative media for publication of legal notices in order to improve citizen participation in and awareness of town programs and expenses.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

State law requires publication of legal notices in a newspaper and establishes specific rules regarding what publications qualify as a "newspaper" for purposes of legal notice (General Construction Law, §60(a) and Public Officers Law, §70-a). Specifically, in order to be considered a "newspaper," a publication must: (1) be in general circulation; (2) be established and ordinarily printed and distributed at least weekly for at least one year; (3) contain news, editorials and other matters of "current interest;" (4) have a paid circulation; and (5) be entered as second-class mail matter (Op. St. Compt. No. 94-9; Op. Atty. Gen. ¶ No. 90-49). The rates charged by "newspapers" are set forth in Public Officers Law, §70-a (1).

Due to the narrow definition of "newspaper," alternative publications, such as free weeklies or internet-based publications, are excluded from use for purposes of legal notice. Expanding the definition of a "newspaper" to include other types of publications could provide more notice to the public. Moreover, changes in the statutory rates could reduce the publication costs of public notices. In addition to expanding the definition of what publications qualify as newspapers for purposes of public notices and lowering the statutory publication rates, tax-

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

payers could also save money by posting public notices on municipal websites at little or no cost to the taxpayer.

Resolution No. 15

Restore Real Property Tax, State Income Tax and Mortgage Interest Federal Income Tax Deductions

WHEREAS, New York State taxpayers have relied upon deductions from federal income tax for state income tax, real property taxes and mortgage interest since the inception of the tax code; and

WHEREAS, the ability to deduct state income taxes, real property taxes and mortgage interest enables and encourages homeownership, creating cohesive communities that attract businesses and residents to New York's towns; and

WHEREAS, increased federal taxation and the resulting reduction in municipal services will harm local housing markets, decrease home values, erode local tax bases and accelerate residential and business flight from New York; and

WHEREAS, the elimination or narrowing of the state and local tax deductions would result in a form of double taxation on New Yorkers and impose fiscal stress on taxpayers and local governments; and

WHEREAS, legislation significantly reduced the state and local tax deductions; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the New York congressional delegation to fight to preserve and/or restore deductibility of state income tax, real property taxes and interest paid on mortgages.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

New York State taxpayers have relied upon the State and Local Tax (SALT) deduction since the official inception of the income tax code in 1913. Prior to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (H.R. 1), taxpayers that itemized their deductions could deduct local real property taxes, state income taxes and mortgage interest from their federal income when calculating taxable federal income, thereby resulting in a reduced tax liability. The SALT deduction reduced the tax burden felt by all New York taxpayers.

With the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (H.R. 1), both the Senate and the House of Representatives significantly reduced the SALT deduction. Specifically, both the Senate and House of Representatives' tax reform bills limit state income tax, sales tax and real property tax deductions to \$10,000 cumulatively.

The SALT deduction is essential for New York taxpayers. In 2015, more than 3.3 million households in New York claimed the SALT deduction, and at the highest average of any state in the country around \$24,000. Reducing the SALT deduction and including state and local government real property tax payments in one's taxable federal income results in double taxation and destroys a fundamental principle of federalism, as one is paying federal taxes on their state and local tax payments. The reduction of the SALT deduction and the subsequent cost shift from the federal government onto local governments will result in decreased revenue for essential municipal services and an acceleration of residential and business flight out of New York to states that do not impose such

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

a high tax burden.

Towns provide a significant number of essential services, including police protection, critical infrastructure improvements, emergency medical services, solid waste disposal, fire protection, refuse pickup and highway maintenance, among others. More often than not, the only avenue to raise revenue is through real property taxation. Limiting the ability to generate revenue through taxation by eliminating and modifying the SALT deduction will only further impede a local government's ability to provide cost-effective, essential services to its residents. Moreover, the SALT deduction encourages homeownership; the elimination of this essential tax break will likely have a chilling effect on homeownership in New York.

Resolution No. 16

Reform Inequities in the Property Tax Cap Formula

WHEREAS, the Property Tax Cap, which was designed to limit the property taxes levied by local governments to 2 percent or the rate of inflation, whichever is less, is in place until June 2020; and

WHEREAS, the actual Property Tax Cap levy amount has typically been below 2 percent for five consecutive years; and

WHEREAS, New York State governs how towns raise revenue, and property taxes are the primary source of revenue for towns; and

WHEREAS, other sources of revenue, such as state and federal aid, have remained stagnant while expenses continue to rise; and

WHEREAS, New York State has primarily provided real property tax relief through ad hoc property tax exemptions rather than comprehensive property tax reform, resulting in additional administrative and litigation costs and a tax shift rather than a tax reduction; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls for tax cap program reforms, such as exemptions for expenditures that improve public safety, invest in local infrastructure, address emergencies, acquire and develop open space, result from capital improvements mandated by government agencies' consent orders, and invest in municipal infrastructure to enhance economic capacity and community development; and BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls on the state to examine and report on the property tax cap's impact on local government operations and infrastructure.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2018 Legislative Program.

The Property Tax Cap (General Municipal Law, §3-c), which established a limit on the annual growth of property taxes levied by local governments and school districts to 2 percent or the rate of inflation, whichever is less, was adopted in 2011 and will expire in 2020. The allowable growth levy has been less than 2 percent for the last five years and has only recently hit 2 percent: (www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/realprop/pdf/inflation_allowable-

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

growthfactors.pdf).

The law has a formula to calculate the levy limit that already considers a few statutory exemptions (tort judgments, pension costs and transfers of functions), credits from previous years and payments in lieu of taxes (PILOTs). Towns have identified some additional exemptions that should be included in the levy calculation that would still offer taxpayers relief and allow towns to improve local services and maintain local infrastructure. In addition, this resolution requests that the state examine the impact the tax cap has had on local services and infrastructure.

Resolution No. 17

Resolution Imploring AATV, Adirondack Inter-County, AOT, NYCOM, NYSAC and NYS to Come Together with a Plan to Provide Cell Coverage for all NYS Residents and Visitors

WHEREAS, cellular service and the ability to use individual wireless devices is no longer a luxury; and

WHEREAS, every area of the state, irrespective of financial means or the economics, has a right to this key piece of technology infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, this infrastructure drives commerce and tourism, is a part of education, and is more and more the backbone of emergency response; and

WHEREAS, there are still large tracts of New York State that are still deprived of this utility; and

WHEREAS, we as government officials have an obligation to provide for the public safety, the education and the equitable treatment and opportunity for all of our citizens; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns hereby calls upon all local governments and local government associations to stand together, to insist that the time is now to move New York ahead of the nation in terms of this critical technology.

Background

This resolution was submitted by the Town of Horicon in Warren County and the board of supervisors in Hamilton County.

Excerpt from Mobile phone service also can help or hinder Park-based business

Broadband access improving in the Adirondacks

November 2, 2018

By Janet Reynolds, Adirondack Explorer

<https://www.adirondackexplorer.org/stories/telecommuting>

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

"It's a major issue for tourists," Wolff said. "They come to the North Country and they want to be able to use their cell phones." Regardless of carrier, there are miles of dead zones in the Park.

Public safety is an additional concern. Dead zones mean that those in distress can't access help. Verizon did close the cell tower gap on the Northway in 2015 in part after a public and political outcry after a man died of hypothermia in his car in 2007 because neither he nor his wife could call for help, but dead zones remain. Lynch said it's possible that the new fiber infrastructure could help with cell phone service down the road. Wireless companies could use existing fiber networks to build shorter towers, which can lower their costs and enable them to place more towers. In the meantime, residents are at the mercy of the mobile phone companies. ATT spokesperson Brandy Bell-Truskey said ATT invested nearly \$1.3 billion in New York State wireless and wired networks from 2015 to 2017. While she would not provide the number of ATT towers in the Park or their location, she did say some of the improvements were in Lake George village and parts of the Northway. Rod Garrett, who lives in Saranac Lake and is a telecommuting senior economist for a company in Rome, New York, lives that cell phone frustration. "Without the internet I couldn't work from here. It's that simple," he said, "but a cell phone is also important. A cell phone gives me the freedom to move around."

Excerpt from Federal grants to boost Adirondack cell coverage, economic development projects

By Pete Demola, The Sun Community News

<https://www.suncommunitynews.com/articles/the-sun/federal-grants-to-boost-cell-coverage-workforce-development/>

JULY 26, 2018

Cell Coverage

The Town of Inlet was awarded \$352,000 to construct a communications tower to provide cell coverage to Raquette Lake, Eagle Bay and Big Moose. Hamilton County Board of Supervisors Chairman Bill Farber said while the lack of modern communications was once alluring to city residents seeking to escape the grind, it's no longer a tenable concept. "The reality is the need for cell service in the Adirondacks is becoming more and more notable," Farber told The Sun. The lack of coverage puts businesses in the rural Hamilton County getaway at a competitive disadvantage, and entrepreneurs are reluctant to invest owing to an inability to "connect with their target audience, create a social media presence or build a following, and for the inability to offer these services to guests and patrons," said the news release announcing the grant. Inlet is on the front lines of what Farber referred to as the "next big technology gap." The lack of coverage can also be a deal-breaker for visitors who rely on smartphones for work, or even for families whose children would revolt at being cut-off from modern technology during a family trip. Old Forge, located about 10 miles southwest, has ample cell coverage, and retailers have been fielding an increasing number of complaints from visitors about the patchy and non-existent service, Farber said. "The comments are getting more and more negative, and the economic impacts revolve around cell service," he said. And there's also the public safety issue, including the ability to call 911. With the grant funds announced, Inlet can now move forward in the permitting process with the property owner, with construction likely to begin next year. "This is something we're aggressive about," Farber said. "We will work with the town to make sure we move forward on this project."

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

Resolution No. 18

Support Funding for Rural Broadband Deployment while Preserving Local Authority

WHEREAS, access to broadband internet service is essential for commercial economic growth, our education systems and the overall well-being of our citizens; and

WHEREAS, access to high-speed broadband internet service is regarded as a basic infrastructure necessity of the 21st century, providing a means of access to information and communication for citizens and businesses that is used by a growing percentage of the world's population; and

WHEREAS, broadband internet access serves the public interest in that it is increasingly a requirement for the provision of government services; for the survival of small, locally owned businesses; and for improving economic development and commerce, education and health care; and

WHEREAS, a lack of access to broadband internet service may depreciate property values; and

WHEREAS, local officials must balance their constitutional duty to taxpayers to manage municipal growth and infrastructure in a safe, efficient and fiscally prudent manner with the needs of private industry; and

WHEREAS, there have been state and federal legislative and regulatory initiatives to pre-empt local authority; and

WHEREAS, we applaud New York State and federal leaders for providing funding and resources to foster broadband deployment and access through programs like the ConnectNY Broadband Grants and the Federal Community Connect Grant; and

WHEREAS, there are still significant areas of New York State without access to high-speed broadband services because of geographic isolation, topographic conditions and low population density; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor, the state Legislature and the Congressional Delegation to continue to support broadband access and deployment through additional funding, legislative initiatives and programs while preserving local governments' authority over municipal infrastructure, siting decisions, fees and the time it takes to review applications.

Background

This issue was on the Association's Legislative Program in 2014 and was requested to be reconsidered for the 2019 program by the Erie County Supervisors Forum.

New York State Programs and Funding

New York State has been working on increasing access to high-speed internet services to all New Yorkers in recent years. The NYS Broadband Program Office (<http://nysbroadband.ny.gov/>), established in 2008, is charged with executing New York State's Broadband Strategy, which is to provide affordable high-speed internet access to all New Yorkers. According to the New York State Broadband Deployment Office, broadband refers to high-

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

speed internet access and advanced telecommunications services for homes, commercial establishments, government, schools and community anchor institutions. In New York State, broadband service is primarily delivered via cable modem, fiber-optic cable, digital subscriber line (DSL), or through mobile wireless (3G/4G/5G/LTE). In fact, many service providers use a combination of wireline and wireless technologies to provide hybrid broadband service to their customers.

The state currently hosts a map of broadband deployment where you can view areas of the state that are served, underserved and unserved.

According to the NYS broadband office, \$1 billion in public-private investments have been secured to provide broadband access to more than 2.42 million locations statewide. To see the NYS Broadband Map, visit: <http://www.broadbandmap.ny.gov>

Federal Programs and Funding

The National Association of Towns and Townships (NATaT) has been working on this issue in Washington. NATaT's legislative platform calls for federal funding while preserving local control over access to local rights-of-way and infrastructure. You can read more about NATaT's platform at: <http://www.natat.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/NATaT-Broadband-Priorities.pdf>. In addition, there is information on rural broadband advocacy and funding available on Broadband Connects America's website <http://www.broadbandconnectsameric.com/> and through the U.S. Department of Agriculture <https://www.usda.gov/broadband>

Pre-emption

The telecommunications industry has been advocating at the federal, state and local levels to gain quicker and cheaper access to municipal infrastructure for the rollout of 5G small cell technology by seeking both state and federal legislative/regulatory accommodations. While towns recognize the need to ensure access to current technology, local government officials are best suited to manage and value local infrastructure and to process siting applications in a fair and orderly manner. To date, federal and state legislation to pre-empt local authority regarding the access to municipal infrastructure and the manner in which siting applications are processed have not advanced. For example, the proposal in the governor's 2018-2019 Executive Budget to pre-empt local siting authority was not included in the enacted state budget. In addition, pre-emptive state legislation (A.7489/S.2042 2018) did not advance out of committee. At the federal level, we have been working with NATaT to monitor federal legislation and FCC regulations and guidance. Legislation has been introduced in the U.S. Senate to streamline the deployment of 5G small cell infrastructure (S.3157 STREAMLINE Small Cell Deployment Act -<https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/3157/text>). In May, Executive Director Gerry Geist met with Sen. Brian Schatz, regarding federal S.3157, which regulates the placement of telecommunications equipment within municipal rights-of-way and our concerns with the draft legislation that had been circulating with some troubling pre-emptive components. The meeting was productive; the legislation that was introduced (S.3157 STREAMLINE Small Cell Deployment Act) is more accommodating to local governments than originally envisioned, but still needs work to better address fees, shot clocks and general authority. Senator Schatz has indicated that he is open to ideas and welcomes a healthy debate on the issues. In October 2018, the Federal Communications Commission adopted a new order imposing time limits on the amount of time municipalities have to

2019 Proposed Legislative Program

process 5G applications and which also set limits on municipal fee structures associated with applications and access to municipal infrastructure. You can read more about the FCC order on NATaT's website at: <http://www.natat.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Brief-on-FCC-Adoption-of-5G-Small-Cell-Rules.pdf>

Resolution No. 19

Authorize an Optional Town Gross Receipts Tax (GRT)

WHEREAS, cities and villages are authorized, by local option, to collect a Gross Receipts Tax (GRT), which is essentially the rental cost for leasing or utilizing the municipal rights-of-way by utility and telecommunication companies; and

WHEREAS, while these two classes of local government have the ability to impose and collect this revenue, and have had the authority for eight decades to do so, lessening their reliance on the real property tax base to fund local services, towns do not enjoy the same authority, nor are they able to reap the same benefits; and

WHEREAS, in the absence of other revenue sources such as the GRT, towns are heavily dependent upon real property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the real property tax cap/freeze limits the amount of revenue towns can derive from the real property tax; and

WHEREAS, in the absence of robust state and federal aid, complicated by reduced revenue derived from real property taxes, towns are in need of additional revenue sources to fund town services; and

WHEREAS, town rights-of-way are currently being enjoyed by utility/telecommunications companies at reduced rates because towns do not have the ability to collect a GRT; **NOW THEREFORE BE IT**

RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the Governor and the state Legislature to authorize towns, at their discretion, to collect gross receipts tax.

Background

This resolution was part of the 2017 AOT Legislative Program and requested to be reintroduced by town officials in the Town of Owego in Tioga County.

Authorize an Optional Gross Receipts Tax (GRT)

Towns are in dire need of additional revenue sources in order to lessen their dependency on the real property tax base to fund town services and operations. Cities and villages have the authority to fund their operations with a local gross receipts tax on utility services within their municipalities. The Association of Towns supports legislation that would provide towns with the same authority as cities and villages to charge a local gross receipts tax.

According to the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, cities and villages may impose selective